rather than the Committee because it is a matter more of opinion some people say of a position than of Constitutional craftsmanship.

The only pause that we had, or the only research question that arose under it was what the effect of the deletion of Article XV, which we recommend, would have on Article 85A. The two were passed almost contemporaneously.

We were not able to discover, and I don't believe there is any background to the reason why it was felt necessary to enact the Constitutional Amendment in order to put into play a subversive activities law.

Apparently the feeling at the time was that this was such a burning issue that it belonged in the basic document of the State.

The only practical effect that the deletion might have would be because of a possible conflict with Article 37 of the present Declaration of Rights which states that no other oath than the Constitutional oath shall be prescribed.

You might recall that the oath which the